# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2-1}}$ 

MOCKET FILL COPY INGINA

Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frecuency Band

Implementation of Sections 3 n) and 322 of the Communications Act—Regulatory Treatment of Mobile Services

Implementation of Section 3(9(j) of the Communications Act—Communications Act—Commun

PERTION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION

The Commission

The City of Coral Gables. Florida ("the City") by its attorney and pursuant to Section 1.429 of the Commission's Fules and Regulations. 47 C. F.R. § 1.429, hereby seeks partial reconsideration of the *First Feport and Order Eight Report and Order, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("First Report and Order"*). FCC 95-501; released December 15, 1995, in the captioned matter. Notwithstanding its December 15, 1995, release date, the *First Report and Order* was not published in the *Federal Register* until Enday. February 16, 1996. Accordingly, this petition is mely filed today. *Of* 47 C. FR. §§ 1.4(b)(1) & 1.429(d)

The City seeks reconsideration of that part of the First Report and Order that, in reallocating the General Calegory channels to exclusive SMR use that the effect of disqualifying Public Safety eligibles from General Category licensing. Coral Gables is a Public Safety Radio Service eligible and license and is a party in interest adversely affected by the subject action insofar as the reallocation of effectively disqualify the City from use of General Category channels to meet its public safety spectrum needs. The substantive arguments and reasons supporting this request are set forth in Part L of the Comments of the City of Coral Gables.

Florida, submitted in this proceeding on February 15, 1996. The City's comments are incorporated herein by this relevance and a copy is attached hereto for convenient reference.

WHEREFORE, the City urges the Commission to reconsider in part the First Report and Order and to restore public safety eligibility for licensing on General Category channels

Respectfully submitted.

THE CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

By

Robert J. Keller, Its Attorney

Law Office of Robert J. Keller, P.C. 2000 L Street. N.W. - Suite 200

Washington, D.C 20036

Telephone 202-416-1670 Facsimile: 301-229-6875 Email jk@telcomlaw.com

Dated: 18 March 1996

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

in the Matter of	)	
	)	
Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules	)	PR Docket No 93-144
o Facilitate Future Development of SMR Systems	}	
n the 800 MHz Frequency Ban-	}	
	)	
implementation of Sections 3(n) and 322 of the	1,	GN Docket No 93-252
Communications Act—Regulatory Treatment of		
Mobile Services	i	
	+	
Implementation of Section 309() of the	ė.	PP Docket No 93-253
Communications Act—Compet tive Bidding		

To The Commission

### COMMEN'S OF THE CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

The City of Coral Gables. Florida (sometimes referred to herein as "Coral Gables" or "the City"), by its attorney and pursuant to ection 4(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 553(c), and Section 1.415(a) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. 47 C.F.R. § 1.415(a), hereby offers its comments on the First Report and Order, Fight Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("Notice FCC 95-50), released December 15, 1995, in the captioned matter.

### I. Introduction

Coral Gables is a Pub ic Safety Radio Service eligible<sup>2</sup> and licensee. These comments address the City's concerns regarding the actions taken and contemplated in this proceeding on the continued availability of Gene at Category channels for public safety communications systems.

The City of Coral Gables is located in Dade County Florida, an area of dense population where frequency resources are limited due to high demand and usage by both SMR and non-SMR users alike.

As the title of the document suggests, the Commission took some actions and proposed others. While these comments are limited to a relatively narrow aspect of the Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (public safety treatment of General Category channels). Coral Gables will also address one aspect of the First Report and Street, namely, the reallocation of the General Category channels to exclusive SMR use, but only assofar as such action has the effect of disqualifying Public Safety eligibles from General Category licens ag

The city's current population is 1250 permanent residents, a number that will increase by approximately 20% due to pending annexation of adjacent populated areas. The city is also host to approximately 4,000 business and vacation victors each day. To provide effective protection of the lives and property of hose in its charge, the City requires that its public safety officers be equipped with the best resources. A reliable public safety radio communications system is one of the best tools available to ensure timely and effective response.

Public safety users are no less affected by spectrum shortages than the rest of the mobile radio industry. For public safety systems, however, much more is at stake than greater efficiency in a business operation or increased revenue, and profitability to a commercial service provider. The mission of Coral Gables and other public safety, users is to protect the lives, safety, and property of residents and visitors in their jurisdictions. Mobile rade its an integral and critical part of this mission. The public interest demands that the Commission cake public safety spectrum needs into account in crafting its spectrum allocation and radio beensing egulations.

The General Categor shannels which have heretofore been available for use by public safety eligibles, are very important a providing some relief to spectrum shortages. While this allocation is crowded as well, there are the 2s when no Public Safety Category channel is available, but one or more General Category channels and be applied for or acquired by assignment of an existing authorization. This is a valuable option that should be preserved. The *First Report and Order*, however, reallocates the General Category channels to execusive SMR use, but contains no acknowledgment of, much less analysis or justification for the impact on public safety users. The record in the proceeding is essentially word of public safety comments and Coral Gables believes this is substantially due the Commission's lathure to give adequate notes that it would be taking actions having such a direct and adverse impact on

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Public Safety Radio \* ervices include the Local Government, Police, Fire, Highway Maintenance and Forestry-Conservation \* adio Services \*\* 47 C.F.R. § 90-15 Nee also, 47 C.F.R. §§ 90.16 through 90.25

Upon completion of the ar nexation, the city will encompass 15,000 residential and 2,989 commercial structures

public safety licensees. Perhaps nore distressing than the lack of adequate prior notice is the fact that the rest Report and Order does not oven acknowledge much less offer a justification for, the effect of this inexpected reallocation on public safety users.

The Commission is reminded that [i]n taking actions to manage the spectrum to be made available for use by the private poble services," first and foremost among its considerations is to be whether such actions will promote the safety of life and property." Section 332(a)(1) of the communications Act of 1934 amended (hereinafter the "Communications Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 32(c)(1). The Commission can honor this Congressional mandate by adopting spectrum allocation and incensing policies that property effect the relative worth of using spectrum for promoting the safety of life and property over other uses that may be in the public interest, but are in no way as important to the public interest.

Coral Gables herein rackes specific recommendations with respect to the accommodation of public safety users in the Compussion's proposed new regulatory structure for the General Category channels. Specifically the Circurges the commission to reverse its reallocation of the General Category insofar as it disqualifies public safety eligibles. Coral Gables also urges the Commission not to apply geographic ficensing and spectrum auctions to the General Category channels. Should the Commission nonetheless decide to implement geographic ficensing and spectrum auctions. Coral Gables alternatively

<sup>1</sup> This proceeding has been continued as essentially an SMR proceeding, and at no time has the

Commission should devote at least as much of its resources to facilitate the full participation of state and

local agencies as it does in publicizing it spectrum auctions

Commission taken any specific steps to advise the public that public safety licensees were to be significantly affected in any stay. The Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, FCC 94-271; released November 4, 1994, at paragraphs 51-54, made only passing mention of public safety use of the General Category and Pool channels. While the possibility of an exclusive SMR reallocation was mentioned, a fair reading of the Further Notice is that the Commission was more inclined to prohibit SMR usage of the band segment, insofar as it was already proposing a major reallocation for the benefit of wide area SMR operators, and had "tentative v conclude[d] that [it] should revise [its] eligibility rules for the General Category and Pool Channels o prohibit SMR and non-SMR applicants from applying for the same channels." Further Notice at 9.53. And there is certainly no suggestion there or in any other public atterance that the Commission would take an action to disenfranchise public safety eligibles from the entire General Category block. While there is a limit to the efforts the Commission must make to alert potentially affected parties o atts proposed actions. Coral Gables respectfully submits that, where something as important to the public interest as public safety communications is involved, the

recommends specific special processions designed to minimize the adverse impact on public safety communications systems

# IL CONTINUED PUBLIC SAFETY ELIGIBILITY ON THE GENERAL CATEGORY CHANNELS

The Commission's real ocation of all General Category channels exclusively to SMR use, when the First Report and Order becomes effective, will preclude public safety eligibles from applying for new or acquiring existing facilities it ing General Category channels. The disqualification of public safety users from eligibility for General Category channels is not in the public interest, and Coral Gables urges the Commission to reconsider that aspect of the reallocation decision.

The General Category hannels are very important to Public Safety operations in South Florida, and undoubtedly the same is in a in most other areas of the country. Some public safety agencies depend entirely on these channels for a err public safety communications. Other public safety agencies use General Category channels to applement or augment their conventional and trunked operations in the 800 MHz band. In the Broware Dade County Area of Florida, there are no spectrum alternatives for public safety licensees other than General Category channels.

General Category one mels are technically compatible with the 70 Public Safety Pool Channels as well as channels allocated unour the National Public Safety Plan (NPSPAC channels). In fact, currently, as well as for many years priouto NPSPAC, ECC Channel No. 96 is/was used as a statewide mutual aid channel bringing together state and local public safety agencies in times of natural disasters and public emergencies. Clearly such use furthers the public interest, convenience and necessity

Local governments to equently find that the spectrum allocated specifically for public safety use in the Commission's rules are not adequate to satisfy their needs. For example, the Coral Gables recently purchased a 7.5 million dollar digital simulcast trunking radio system. By the time Coral Gables was able

The rule changes made in the First Report and Order are to become effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. To the less of the City's knowledge, such publication has not yet occurred and, if it has, occurred less than 30 days ago

The deadline for seeking to mal reconsideration has not vet passed. Section 1.429(d) of the Rules requires that petitions seeking reconsideration of rulemaking actions be filled within 30 days of Federal Register publication. 47 C F R § 1.429(d) see n.4, supra. Coral Gables will submit a formal request for immuted reconsideration on to before the appropriate deadline, but presents the matter here as well because the comments offered on the Commission's proposed rules assume public safety eligibility on the General Category channels

in this system, there we e not enough available channels in the Public Safety category to satisfy its requirements. Accordingly 112 city negotiated for the purchase of existing systems operated by private ector firms, one of which is a niventional SMR using a General Category channel. Coral Gables understands that many other purities affety users also commonly supplement their spectrum requirements by licensing (through initial application or assignment) General Category channels.

The Commission base the decision to reallocate in large part on its finding that the predominant use of the General Category is. MR. It is ironic that this would be the justification for an action that effectively ousts public safety is ers from the band. The over-population of the band by SMR users is the logical result of speculation. Stort spacing, and trunking the previously conventional-only channels. These are the very activities, allowed in one encouraged by Commission policy, that have resulted in many public safety systems being "boxed in and unable to expand their systems. Unlike SMRs and other Commercial Service operators, public safety cannot react immediately to Commission actions and marketplace demands. Public Safety entitic injust work within budgetary cycles and plan well in advance to place their technical facilities in operation.

The spectrum shorta ic in general and the lack of adequate Public Safety category channels to meet present and future need: 48 a serious problem. The ability to supplement public safety needs with General Category channels—nile not a panacea, is nonetheless a useful tool which should not be removed. Moreover, retainin—public safety user eligibility will not unduly interfere with the regulatory goals of the Commission's readlocation for at least two important reasons.

- The exception is the SMR-only eligibility will be limited to public safety users, thereby minimizing the impact of any future non-SMR licensing.
- Given the dept tion of available General Category channels in most markets of any significant population, it is likely that the vast majority of future public safety licensing on such channels will involve the transfer or assignment of existing facilities, rather than application for new facilities. Thus, subject to other recommendations made herein, the public safety their will merely assume the position of a site-specific licensee who would, under the Conmission's proposal already be grandfathered.

Continuing to allow public afety users to obtain General Category authorization will thus have minimal.

If any, adverse impact on the Commission is regulatory objectives, while at the same time providing a

substantial public interest benefit of maintaining a valuable tool for ameliorating the scarcity of public safety spectrum resources

### III. PROPOSED GEOGRAPHIC LICENSING AND AUCTIONS FOR GENERAL CATEGORY CHANNELS

### A. The Commission Should Not Adopt Geographic Licensing and Auctions.

The Commission has sought comment on the possibility of using geographic licensing and spectrum auctions for General ategory channels. Coral Gables respectfully submits that this would be ill-advised. The current General ategory landscape and channel usage can be quite accurately described as an overcrowded hodgepodge are are systems of various types (commercial, private, public safety, etc.) and of every technical configuration (conventional small trunked, large trunked, local, regional, analog, digital, etc.) Moreover an all but the smallest of markets there are virtually no more channels available for new licensing. Moreover, what room is left for licensing in the General Category can be expected to disappear rapidly by virtue of conventions of incumbents by 800 MHz wide area bidders and licensees

When the foregoing is coupled with the Commission's proposal for complete protection of incumbents—a proposal whice. Coral Gables whole-heartedly supports—it becomes clear that very little, if anything, would be gained by moving to geographic licensing. Unlike the situation with 900 MHz SMR or MMDS (where geographic censing and auctions arguably provides incumbents the ability to expand and consolidate their licensed crease at its extremely unlikely that geographic licensing on General Category channels will benefit incumbents. On any given channel or set of channels in the General Category pool, we can expect to find a large number of unaffiliated incumbent users. Incumbents will be battling among themselves in addition to third-party bidders in any auction.

Whoever wins such — auction will owe so much protection to so many incumbents over so much of the market as to make the — (thorization worth very little. Since this lack of value will presumably be reflected in the amounts bid — (8 not too far-fetched to suggest that an effort to auction geographic

For this reason, if the Commission does decide to auction geographic licenses for General Category channels, it should consider the use of much smaller geographic units. Counties or cellular MSAs/RSAs would be more appropriate in this regard than either BTAs or EAs. Bidders desiring larger areas in specific cases can still accomplish this by bidding on multiple licenses

recovery for the public of a portion of the value of the public spectrum resource made available for commercial use." Section 309(1-3)(C) of the Communications Act. 4" U.S.C. § 309(j)(d)(C). The auction may well cost more than it raises for the public.

# B. If the Geographic Licensing and Auctions are Adopted for General Category Channels, Special Provision Should be Made for Public Safety Eligibles.

In the alternative, should the Commission nonetheless adopt rules providing for geographic licensing and spectrum auction with respect to the General Category channels. Coral Gables urges the anclusion of special provisions or public safety eligibles

## Special Incumbency Provisions for Public Safety Users

The Commission has proposed that General Category incumbents be protected from interference by the geographic licensee and that incumbents will be permitted to make modifications provided their overall 12 dBµ contour is not pereased. In view of the increased public interest importance of public safety use. Coral Gables recon mends that, if the incumbent is a public safety user, it be permitted to make modifications that increase the 12 dBµ contour, subject to the following conditions:

- The modified 22 dBμ contour does not encroach the service contour (40 dBμ) of any existing site-based incumbent or any constructed and operating base station of the geograp inclinensee:
- The site is not relocated more than ten miles from the previously authorized location and
- The total cumulative increase in the 40 dBµ contour within any one license term shall be no greater than 10% of the total area of the originally authorized contour.

These provisions will give to usees increased flexibility to neet important public safety needs while still providing adequate protection to other co-channel licensees, both site-specific and geographic.

## Special Public Safety Provisions as to Geographic Licensees

Coral Gables also recommends that the Commission adopt special public safety provisions applicable to geographic lines sees. Specifically, geographic licensees should be under specific obligation to provide service and/or capacity to public safety users upon reasonable demand therefor, and geographic licensees should be permitted to disaggregate their authorization to accommodate public safety users.

### a. Oblis ation to Provide Service Capacity

Insofar as the lieneral Category channels have been reallocated for exclusive SMR use.

In geographic licensee will be commercial mobile radio service "CMRS") provider. The Commission is authorized to impose commo carrier obligations on CMRS licensees. In order to address the shortage of spectrum available for public safety use the Commission should specifically clarify that any geographic licensee will be required to profide service to a public safety user on non-discriminatory terms upon reasonable request. Further the he event there is no capacity to allable to honor such a request at the time it is made, the CMRS licensee hould be required to develop wait list policies that give priority to public safety ensurements. While not as affective as the other methods described herein for addressing public safety spectrum needs, this specifical on will at least increase the ability of public safety users to meet their requirements on an end user these.

### b. waggregation of Geographic Leenses

A General integory geographic licensee should be permitted to disaggregate any part of its authorization to accommonate the needs of a public service eligible. This could take two forms. First, the geographic licensee may obsent to the public safety eligible obtaining a site-based license within its authorized geographic area. Second, a sub-area of the geographic area may be transferred to the public safety user. In either case, the use made of the disaggregated area should reflect favorably on the geographic licensee's buildoor requirements by either effectively reducing those requirements or counting toward them. This proposal could allow public safety users to continue to use private contractual means to accommodate their spectrum requirements.

# Public Satety Eligible Participation in General Category Auctions

Finally, if there are to be auctions for geographic licenses on General Category channels, public safety users should be etigible to participate and to bid on and obtain such licenses. The Commission's auction authority is limited to services in which "the principal use of such spectrum will involve, or is

The Commission would be justified in reallocating some portion of the General Category channels exclusively to public safety use, but this would probably not be practical given the high occupancy of the band. Thus, for the same reasons it opposes geographic beensing and spectrum auctions, see Section III. A. supra. Coral Gables uses not recommend a public safety set-aside in this pool. If auctions are used, however, public safety lice usees should not be precluded from participating.

reasonably likely to involve, the scensee receiving compensation from subscribers." Section 309(j)(2)(A) of the Communications Act. 47 SC § 309(j)(2)(A). As the sommission has found, and Coral Gables concedes the predominant use the General Category channels is and will continue to be commercial e. SMR), thereby satisfying that requirement. But neither Section 309(j)(2)(A) nor any other statutory provisions precludes the Communication from also permitting public safety users to participate in an otherwise proper auction in order to address spectrum shortage issues

Section 309(j)(6) of the Communications Act provides in pertinent part; "Rules of Construction.

Nothing in this subsection, or in the use of competitive bidding, shall—(A) after spectrum allocation criteria and procedures established by the other provisions of this Act; [or] (B) limit or otherwise affect the requirements of any other chorision of this Act (other than subsections (d)(2) and (e) of this section)."

47 U.S.\*\* § 309(j)(6)(A)-(B)—bus, the Commission's obligation to consider whether its spectrum allocation policies for the private mobile services will "promote the safety of life and property." Section 332(a)(a) of the Communications Act. 47 U.S.C. § 332(a)(1) is in no way diminished or altered by the auction provisions, and will fully justify, if not require, the arrangement suggested by Coral Gables.

Moreover, in view of the higher public interest value of public safety use, special preferences and accommodations should be multe for public safety eligibles. In order to qualify for such preferred treatment, the bidder must be higible in the Public Safety Radio Service in accordance with Section 90.15 of the Commission's Rules. F.R. § 90.15, or be a consortium of such eligibles, provided that the basis of eligibility must relate to public safety activities within the area for which the geographic license is being sought. Coral Gables in the following specific preferences and accommodations for public safety eligibles.

• No Up cont Payment or Reduced Upfront Payment. An elimination of or significant reduction in any required upfront payment is justified on the basis of the proposed public afety use of the license and the fact that the bidder will be a local government entity. Moreover, budgeting and requisitioning procedures for many local government entities may preclude the ability to raise the required money in the time frame prescribed for the auction. On this basis the Commission would be justified in not applying an upfront payment requirement to public safety eligibles, or perhaps assessing only a minimum payment (e.g., \$2,500), regardless of the number activity units on which the public safety eligible seeks to bid

- Bidding ( edits and Installment Payment Provisions. For similar reasons, bidding credits and favorable installment payment terms should be extended to public safety eligibles ( oral Gables submits that a bidding credit of at least 25% would be justified. Moreover, as to down payment and installment payment provisions, as to public safety eligibles the Commission should, after the auction, negotiate a payment's hedule with the winning bidder on a case-by-case basis. The budgeting and requisition process for different state and local government entity will vary, and a uniform schedule can not be prescribed.
- Non-apple ability of Anti-Collusion Rules to Public Safety Eligibles. While public safety eligibles may form consortia to bid on a geographic license, it is also possible that two comore public safety eligibles may bid independently on the same license with the goal of subsequent disaggregation by or shared use with the successful bidder. Communications between public safety eligibles during the auction should be permitted to facilitate these goals.
- Disaggre ration. If a public safety eligible is the successful bidder for a geographic license. It should be afforded complete flexibility to disaggregate its authorization to other public safety entities and/or commercial users, provided that some portion is retained for public safety use.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The City respectfully reges the Commission to retain public safety eligibility for General Category channels and not to a lopt geographic licensing and spectrum auctions for these channels. If the Commission adopts geographic licensing of the General Category channels. Coral Gables urges the inclusion of the special provisions discussed above for the projection of public safety interests and to address the shortage of spectroon for public safety communications

Respectfully submitted.

THE CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

Robert J. Keller

Its Attorney

Law Office of Robert J. Keller, P.C. 2000 L Street, N.W. - Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20036

202-416-1670

Dated: 15 February 996

By

One of the underlying purposes for spectrum auctions is the "recovery for the public of a portion of the value of the public spectrum—esource made available for commercial use" Section 309(j)(3)(C) of the Communications Act.  $47.U \le C \le 309(j)(3)(C)$  If the license goes to a public safety eligible, the spectrum will not be commercial use that will indeed be put directly to the use and benefit of the public.